Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/557,539	EBERLE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>80</u>	
(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) George Gould (Reg. No. 20970).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 February 2008	Time: <u>4:03pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: 23, 25, 27, 28, 29, 35, 36 and 37 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626 (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/557,539

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicant's representative for permission to: (1) add an "a" before heterocyclcyl on line 10 in claims 23 and 37; (2) correct antecedent basis problems with compounds 9 and 13 in claim 25; (3) add reference to Compound 65 on line 3 in claim 27; and (4) correct lack of antecedent basis of claims 29,35 and 36 by adding "optionally substituted" before phenyl in claim 28.